

On a “zero mass” nonlinear Schrödinger equation

A. Azzollini^{*} & A. Pomponio[†]

Abstract

We look for positive solutions to the nonlinear Schrödinger equation $-\varepsilon^2 \Delta u - V(x)f'(u) = 0$, in $\mathbb{R}^N$, where V is a continuous bounded positive potential and f satisfies particular growth conditions which make our problem fall in the so called “zero mass case”. We prove an existence result for any $\varepsilon > 0$, and a multiplicity result for ε sufficiently small.

1 Introduction and statement of the results

In this paper we study the elliptic equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u - V(x)f'(u) = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u > 0, \\ u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases} \quad (\mathcal{P})$$

where $N \geq 3$, $V : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We are interested in the so called “zero mass case” that is, roughly speaking, when $f''(0) = 0$.

When V is a positive constant, such a problem has been intensely studied by many authors. Some results have been obtained by [4, 14, 26], if f corresponds to the critical power $t^{(N+2)/(N-2)}$, and by [10, 11, 12, 24], if f is supercritical near the origin and subcritical at infinity (see also [9] for the case of exterior domain and [6] for complex valued solutions).

Up to our knowledge, there is no result in the literature on problem $(\mathcal{P})$ when V is not a constant. Our aim is to investigate this case. More precisely, we will assume the following hypotheses on $V : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

^{*}Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi di Bari, Via E. Orabona 4, I-70125 Bari, Italy, e-mail: azzollini@dm.uniba.it

[†]Dipartimento di Matematica, Politecnico di Bari, Via Amendola 126/B, I-70126 Bari, Italy, e-mail: a.pomponio@poliba.it

(V1) $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$;

(V2) $C_1 \leq V(x) \leq C_2$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$;

(V3) $\limsup_{|y| \rightarrow \infty} V(y) \leq V(x)$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and the inequality is strict for some $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$;

and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

(f1) $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and even;

(f2) $\forall t \in \mathbb{R} : f(t) \geq C_3 \min(|t|^p, |t|^q)$;

(f3) $\forall t \in \mathbb{R} : |f'(t)| \leq C_4 \min(|t|^{p-1}, |t|^{q-1})$;

(f4) $\exists \alpha > 2$ such that $\forall t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} : \alpha f(t) \leq f'(t)t < f''(t)t^2$;

with $2 < p < 2^* = (2N)/(N-2) < q$ and C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 positive constants. These particular growth conditions on f were introduced by [8] to study the semilinear Maxwell equations.

We get the following result:

Theorem 1.1. *If f satisfies (f1-4) and V satisfies (V1-3), then problem (P) possesses at least a nontrivial solution.*

We also consider the singularly perturbed version of problem (P), namely we look for solutions of the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\varepsilon^2 \Delta u - V(x)f'(u) = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u > 0, \\ u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases} \quad (\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon)$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small.

Replacing (V3) by

(V4) $\limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) < \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x)$,

we get the following result:

Theorem 1.2. *If f satisfies (f1-4) and V satisfies (V1-2) and (V4), then problem $(\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon)$ possesses at least a nontrivial solution, for ε sufficiently small.*

Observe that, since (V3) implies (V4), the introduction of a small parameter $\varepsilon > 0$ allows us to obtain an existence result assuming weaker hypotheses on the potential V .

Actually the introduction of the parameter ε allows us to get a stronger result than Theorem 1.2.

We set

$$M := \left\{ \eta \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid V(\eta) = \max_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(\xi) \right\},$$

and for any $\gamma > 0$,

$$M_\gamma := \left\{ \eta \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid \inf_{\xi \in M} \|\eta - \xi\|_{\mathbb{R}^N} \leq \gamma \right\}.$$

Observe that $M \neq \emptyset$, by (V4).

We get the following multiplicity result

Theorem 1.3. *If V satisfies (V1-2), (V4) and f satisfies (f1-4), then, for every $\gamma > 0$, there exists $\bar{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that the problem $(\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon)$ has at least $\text{cat}_{M_\gamma}(M)$ nontrivial solutions for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon})$.*

Here $\text{cat}_{M_\gamma}(M)$ means the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of M in M_γ .

Remark 1.4. *The evenness of the nonlinearity f is required just to obtain positive solutions for problems $(\mathcal{P})$ and $(\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon)$. If we are not interested in the sign of solutions, the evenness hypothesis can be removed.*

This paper has been motivated by some well known works, such as [2, 3, 15, 16, 17, 18, 22, 23, 25, 27, 28], where the nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$-\varepsilon^2 \Delta u + K(x)u = R(x)|u|^{r-2}u, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N$$

has been studied for $2 < r < 2^*$ in the “positive mass case”, namely when K is bounded below by a positive constant (see also [1] for the p-Laplacian).

In Section 2, we take a variational approach to $(\mathcal{P})$ and $(\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon)$. The key point of this section is Theorem 2.8, which, in the same spirit of [13, 25], guarantees the compactness at mountain pass level under a suitable value. By means of this theorem, we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Even if Theorem 1.2 follows immediately from Theorem 1.3, we prefer to prove it directly in this section since it is strictly correlated with Theorem 2.8.

In Section 3, following [1, 15, 16], we look at the topological and compactness properties of the sublevels of the functional associated to $(\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon)$, in order to prove Theorem 1.3.

2 Existence results

Throughout all this section, we will suppose that the hypotheses (f1-4) and (V1-2) hold.

In order to find weak solutions of the problem $(\mathcal{P})$, we define the functional $I: \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as:

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)f(u) dx,$$

where $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\| = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Observe that, by the growth condition **(f3)**, the functional I is well defined and of class C^1 , and its critical points correspond to weak solutions of $(\mathcal{P})$. Moreover we denote by $\mathcal{N}$ the so called Nehari manifold of I , namely

$$\mathcal{N} := \left\{ u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)f'(u)u dx \right\}.$$

Using similar arguments as those in [9], we can prove

Lemma 2.1. *1. $\mathcal{N}$ is a C^1 manifold;*

2. for any $u \neq 0$ there exists a unique number $\bar{\theta} > 0$ such that $\bar{\theta}u \in \mathcal{N}$ and

$$I(\bar{\theta}u) = \max_{\theta \geq 0} I(\theta u);$$

3. there exists a positive constant C , such that for all $u \in \mathcal{N}$, $\|u\| \geq C$.

By 2 of Lemma 2.1, the map $\theta: \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that for any $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $u \neq 0$:

$$I(\theta(u)u) = \max_{\theta \geq 0} I(\theta u)$$

is well defined.

Set

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= \inf_{g \in \Gamma} \max_{\theta \in [0,1]} I(g(\theta)); \\ c_2 &= \inf_{u \neq 0} \max_{\theta \geq 0} I(\theta u); \\ c_3 &= \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}} I(u); \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where

$$\Gamma = \{g \in C([0,1], \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \mid g(0) = 0, I(g(1)) \leq 0, g(1) \neq 0\}.$$

Arguing as in [25, Proposition 3.11], we also have

Lemma 2.2. *The following equalities hold*

$$c = c(V) := c_1 = c_2 = c_3.$$

Observe that, since we are in unbounded domain, there is a lack of compactness. In particular, it is in general not true that the (PS)-sequences, namely sequences of the type $(u_n)_n \subset \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$(I(u_n))_n \text{ is bounded and } I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

admit a converging subsequence. Moreover, the presence of the potential V does not permit us to use any symmetry to recover compactness in a suitable natural constraint of $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. In order to overcome this difficulty, we are going to use a concentration-compactness argument as in [5] (see also [19, 20]).

The following lemma provides the boundedness and the concentration of the (PS)-sequences (actually we consider a more general situation).

In the sequel $(V_n)_n$ is a sequence of potentials satisfying **(V1-2)** uniformly, $(I_n)_n$ is the sequence of the functionals defined by

$$I_n(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_n(x) f(u)$$

and $c_n := c(V_n)$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $0 < a \leq b$. If $(u_n)_n \subset \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is such that*

$$a \leq I_n(u_n) \leq b \quad \text{and} \quad I'_n(u_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

then

1. $(u_n)_n$ is bounded in the $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$;
2. there exist a sequence $(y_n)_n \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and two positive numbers $R, \mu > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_n \int_{B_R(y_n)} |u_n|^2 dx > \mu. \quad (2)$$

In particular, there exists a positive constant $\delta > 0$ such that, for any n sufficiently large,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n) \geq \delta. \quad (3)$$

Proof 1. For n sufficiently large, by **(f4)**, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b + \|u_n\| &\geq I_n(u_n) - \frac{1}{\alpha} \langle I'_n(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \|u_n\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_n(x) \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} f'(u_n) u_n - f(u_n) \right) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \|u_n\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

2. Suppose, by contradiction, that inequality (2) does not hold. Then, for any $R > 0$, we should have

$$\liminf_n \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_R(y)} |u_n|^2 dx = 0.$$

By [5, Lemma 2], up to a subsequence,

$$\lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n) = 0$$

which, by **(f2)** and **(f3)**, implies also

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f'(u_n) u_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} a + o_n(1) &\leq I_n(u_n) - \frac{1}{2} \langle I'_n(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_n(x) \left(\frac{1}{2} f'(u_n) u_n - f(u_n) \right) = o_n(1), \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts $a > 0$.

By **(f2)** we get (3). □

Lemma 2.4. *Let $u_{n,j} \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $n \geq 1$, $j \geq 1$, such that $\|u_{n,j}\| \geq C > 0$ and*

$$\max_{\theta \geq 0} I_n(\theta u_{n,j}) \leq c_n + \delta_j, \quad (4)$$

with $\delta_j \rightarrow 0^+$. Then, there exist a sequence $(y_n)_n \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and two positive numbers $R, \mu > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_n \int_{B_R(y_n)} |u_n|^2 dx > \mu, \quad (5)$$

where we have set $u_n := u_{n,n}$.

In particular, there exists a positive constant $\delta > 0$ such that, for any n sufficiently large,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n) \geq \delta. \quad (6)$$

Proof Observe that, for any fixed $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $u \neq 0$, there exists $\bar{\theta} > 0$ such that $I_n(\theta u) < 0$ for any $\theta \geq \bar{\theta}$.

As a consequence, the map $g_{n,u} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ defined by

$$g_{n,u}(\theta) = \theta \bar{\theta} u$$

is in Γ_n (which is defined in a natural way) and

$$\max_{\theta \in [0,1]} I_n(g_{n,u}(\theta)) = \max_{\theta \geq 0} I_n(\theta u).$$

For any $u_{n,j}$, consider the map $g_{n,j}$ defined as before. By (4) and [21, Theorem 4.3], there exist two sequences $(w_{n,j})_{n,j} \subset \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $(\theta_{n,j})_{n,j} \subset [0, 1]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_{n,j} - g_{n,j}(\theta_{n,j})\| &\leq \delta_j^{1/2}, \\ |I_n(w_{n,j}) - c_n| &< \delta_j, \\ \|I'_n(w_{n,j})\| &\leq \delta_j^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Now we set $w_n := w_{n,n}$ and analogously we do for $u_{n,n}$, $\theta_{n,n}$ and $g_{n,n}$. By definition, for $n \geq 1$, there exists $t_n > 0$ such that $g_n(\theta_n) = t_n u_n$.

Since $(w_n)_n$ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 2.3, it is bounded and there exist a sequence $(y_n)_n \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and two positive numbers $R, \mu > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_n \int_{B_R(y_n)} |w_n|^2 dx > \mu.$$

Moreover, by (7), we have

$$C t_n \leq \|t_n u_n\| \leq \|t_n u_n - w_n\| + \|w_n\| \leq h_n^{1/2} + \|w_n\| \leq C',$$

that is $(t_n)_n$ is bounded.

So (5) follows immediately observing that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^{1/2} &< \|w_n\|_{L^2(B_R(y_n))} \leq \|w_n - t_n u_n\|_{L^2(B_R(y_n))} + \|t_n u_n\|_{L^2(B_R(y_n))} \\ &\leq C'' (\|w_n - t_n u_n\| + \|u_n\|_{L^2(B_R(y_n))}) \\ &\leq C'' (h_n^{1/2} + \|u_n\|_{L^2(B_R(y_n))}). \end{aligned}$$

By **(f2)**, we get (6). $\square$

Let $\widehat{V}$ be another potential satisfying **(V1-2)** and assume the following notations:

$$\widehat{I}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \widehat{V}(x) f(u) dx,$$

$\widehat{\mathcal{N}}$ is its Nehari manifold and $\widehat{c} = c(\widehat{V})$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $(u_n)_n \subset \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\|u_n\| = 1$ and*

$$I(\theta(u_n)u_n) = \max_{\theta \geq 0} I(\theta u_n) \rightarrow c(V), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

*If $\widehat{V}$ is another potential satisfying **(V1-2)** (eventually $\widehat{V} = V$), then the sequence $(\widehat{\theta}(u_n))_n \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ such that for every n*

$$\widehat{I}(\widehat{\theta}(u_n)u_n) = \max_{\theta \geq 0} \widehat{I}(\theta u_n),$$

possesses a bounded subsequence in $\mathbb{R}$.

Proof If, up to a subsequence, for all $n \geq 1$, $\widehat{\theta}(u_n) \leq 1$, then we are done. Suppose that $\widehat{\theta}(u_n) > 1$. Then, for all $n \geq 1$, by **(f4)**, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\widehat{\theta}(u_n)]^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \widehat{V}(x) f'(\theta(u_n)u_n) \widehat{\theta}(u_n) u_n \\ &\geq \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \widehat{V}(x) f(\widehat{\theta}(u_n)u_n) \\ &\geq \alpha [\widehat{\theta}(u_n)]^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \widehat{V}(x) f(u_n). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha > 2$, the conclusion follows from Lemma 2.4 and **(V2)**. $\square$

Lemma 2.6. *Let f satisfy **(f1-4)**, V and $\widehat{V}$ satisfy **(V1-2)**.*

1. *If $V \leq \widehat{V}$, then $c \geq \widehat{c}$.*
2. *If there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $V + \delta \leq \widehat{V}$, then $c > \widehat{c}$.*

Proof 1. For all $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $u \neq 0$, we have

$$\widehat{c} = \inf_{u \neq 0} \sup_{\theta \geq 0} \widehat{I}(\theta u) \leq \sup_{\theta \geq 0} \widehat{I}(\theta u) \leq \sup_{\theta \geq 0} I(\theta u)$$

and then the conclusion.

2. By contradiction, suppose $c = \hat{c}$ and let $(u_n)_n \subset \mathcal{N}$ be such that

$$I(u_n) \rightarrow \hat{c}. \quad (8)$$

Consider the sequence $(\hat{\theta}(u_n))_n \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ such that, for every n ,

$$\hat{I}(\hat{\theta}(u_n)u_n) = \max_{\theta \geq 0} \hat{I}(\theta u_n).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} I(u_n) &\geq I(\hat{\theta}(u_n)u_n) = \hat{I}(\hat{\theta}(u_n)u_n) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\hat{V}(x) - V(x))f(\hat{\theta}(u_n)u_n) \\ &\geq \hat{c} + \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(\hat{\theta}(u_n)u_n), \end{aligned}$$

so, by (8), we deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(\hat{\theta}(u_n)u_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

By **(f2-3)** and **(V2)**,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \hat{V}(x) f'(\hat{\theta}(u_n)u_n) \hat{\theta}(u_n)u_n \rightarrow 0,$$

so, since $\hat{\theta}(u_n)u_n \in \hat{\mathcal{N}}$, we conclude that

$$\|\hat{\theta}(u_n)u_n\| \rightarrow 0.$$

This fact contradicts 3 of Lemma 2.1. □

Lemma 2.7. *Suppose that f satisfies **(f1-4)** and V, V_n satisfy **(V1-2)**, for all $n \geq 1$.*

If $V_n \rightarrow V$ in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ then $c(V_n) \rightarrow c(V)$.

Proof In this proof we repeat the arguments of [25, Theorem 3.21], so we skip some details. It is easy to see that we are reduced to prove the case $V_n = V + h_n$, with $h_n \rightarrow 0$. We first show

$$c^+ := \lim_{h_n \rightarrow 0^+} c(V + h_n) = c(V).$$

By Lemma 2.6 certainly $c^+ \leq c(V)$. By contradiction suppose

$$c^+ < c(V). \quad (9)$$

Let $\delta_j \rightarrow 0^+$. For every $n, j \geq 1$, by the definition of c_n , there exists $u_{n,j} \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\|u_{n,j}\| = 1$ and

$$\max_{\theta \geq 0} I_n(\theta u_{n,j}) \leq c_n + \delta_j.$$

Denoting $u_n = u_{n,n}$, since $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c(V) &\leq \max_{\theta \geq 0} I(\theta u_n) = I(\theta(u_n)u_n) \\ &= I_n(\theta(u_n)u_n) + h_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(\theta(u_n)u_n) \\ &\leq \max_{\theta \geq 0} I_n(\theta u_n) + h_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(\theta(u_n)u_n) \\ &\leq c_n + \delta_n + h_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(\theta(u_n)u_n) \\ &\leq c^+ + \delta_n + h_n \|\theta(u_n)u_n\|_{L^{2^*}}^{2^*} \\ &\leq c^+ + \delta_n + Ch_n(\theta(u_n))^{2^*}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.5 $(\theta(u_n))_n$ is bounded, and then we get a contradiction with (9).

Now we show

$$c^- := \lim_{h_n \rightarrow 0^-} c(V + h_n) = c(V).$$

By Lemma 2.6 certainly $c^- \geq c(V)$. By contradiction suppose

$$c^- > c(V).$$

Let $\delta_n \rightarrow 0^+$. For every $n \geq 1$, by the definition of $c(V)$, there exists a sequence $(u_n)_n \subset \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\|u_n\| = 1$ and

$$\max_{\theta \geq 0} I(\theta u_n) \leq c(V) + \delta_n.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} c^- &\leq c_n \leq \max_{\theta \geq 0} I_n(\theta u_n) = I_n(\theta_n(u_n)u_n) \\ &= I(\theta_n(u_n)u_n) - h_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(\theta_n(u_n)u_n) \\ &\leq \max_{\theta \geq 0} I(\theta u_n) - h_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(\theta_n(u_n)u_n) \\ &\leq c(V) + \delta_n - h_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(\theta_n(u_n)u_n) \\ &\leq c(V) + \delta_n - Ch_n(\theta_n(u_n))^{2^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Again, the conclusion follows from Lemma 2.5. $\square$

In the sequel we will use the following notations

$$V_0 = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x);$$

$$V_\infty = \limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x).$$

By **(V2)**, $V_0, V_\infty \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Moreover we define

$$I_\infty(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\infty f(u),$$

$$\mathcal{N}_\infty := \left\{ u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\infty f'(u)u \right\},$$

$$c_\infty := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\infty} I_\infty(u).$$

The following theorem is a crucial step in view of the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 2.8. *Suppose that **(f1-4)** and **(V1-2)** hold. Let $\widehat{V} > 0$ be such that*

$$V_\infty \leq \widehat{V}.$$

Then either c is a critical value of I or $c \geq \widehat{c}$.

Proof Suppose

$$V_\infty < \widehat{V}. \quad (10)$$

By Lemma 2.2, there exists a sequence $(u_n)_n$ in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, such that $\|u_n\| = 1$ and

$$\max_{\theta \geq 0} I(\theta u_n) \rightarrow c, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (11)$$

For any u_n , we construct the function $g_n \in \Gamma$ as in the proof of Lemma 2.4. Since for any $n \geq 1$

$$\max_{\theta \in [0,1]} I(g_n(\theta)) = \max_{\theta \geq 0} I(\theta u_n),$$

by [21, Theorem 4.3] there exist a sequence $(w_n)_n$ in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $h_n > 0$, $h_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\theta_n \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$\|w_n - g_n(\theta_n)\| \leq h_n^{1/2}, \quad (12)$$

$$|I(w_n) - c| < h_n, \quad (13)$$

$$\|I'(w_n)\| \leq h_n^{1/2}.$$

Since $(w_n)_n$ is a (PS)-sequence at level c , by Lemma 2.3 it is bounded and therefore there exists $w \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that, up to a subsequence,

$$\begin{aligned} w_n &\rightharpoonup w, & \text{weakly in } \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ w_n &\rightarrow w, & \text{strongly in } L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

It is easy to see that w is a critical point of I , then we need only to check whether $w \neq 0$.

By (10), there exists $\rho > 0$, such that for all $|x| \geq \rho$ we have $V(x) \leq \widehat{V}$. Then, for all $\alpha > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\theta \geq 0} I(\theta u_n) &\geq I(\alpha u_n) \\ &= \widehat{I}(\alpha u_n) + \int_{B_\rho} (\widehat{V} - V(x)) f(\alpha u_n) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\rho} (\widehat{V} - V(x)) f(\alpha u_n) \\ &\geq \widehat{I}(\alpha u_n) + \int_{B_\rho} (\widehat{V} - V(x)) f(\alpha u_n). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\alpha = \widehat{\theta}(u_n)$, where $\widehat{\theta}(u_n) > 0$ is such that

$$\widehat{I}(\widehat{\theta}(u_n) u_n) = \max_{\theta \geq 0} \widehat{I}(\theta u_n),$$

by Lemma 2.2, referred to $\widehat{I}$, we get

$$\max_{\theta \geq 0} I(\theta u_n) \geq \widehat{c} + \int_{B_\rho} (\widehat{V} - V(x)) f(\widehat{\theta}(u_n) u_n). \quad (15)$$

By Lemma 2.5, $(\widehat{\theta}(u_n))_n$ is a bounded sequence.

Now, according to the definition of g_n , for every $n \geq 1$ consider the number $t_n > 0$ such that $g_n(\theta_n) = t_n u_n$; by (12)

$$\|w_n\|_{L^p(B_\rho)} \geq \|t_n u_n\|_{L^p(B_\rho)} - \|w_n - t_n u_n\|_{L^p(B_\rho)} \geq \|t_n u_n\|_{L^p(B_\rho)} - h_n^{1/2}. \quad (16)$$

Observe that $(t_n)_n$ is bounded below by a positive constant; otherwise, since $(u_n)_n$ is a bounded sequence, $I(t_n u_n) \rightarrow 0$ along a subsequence, which contradicts (12) and (13).

We consider two possibilities:

- there exists a positive constant γ such that, for any $n \geq 1$,

$$\|u_n\|_{L^p(B_\rho)} \geq \gamma; \quad (17)$$

- up to subsequences,

$$\|u_n\|_{L^p(B_\rho)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (18)$$

If (17) holds, then from (16) we deduce that there exists a positive constant γ' such that

$$\|w_n\|_{L^p(B_\rho)} \geq \gamma'$$

and this, by (14), ensures that $w \neq 0$.

Moreover $I(w) = c$. Indeed, since $w \in \mathcal{N}$, certainly $I(w) \geq c$. On the other hand, by (f4), for any $\rho' > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} I(w_n) - \frac{1}{2} \langle I'(w_n), w_n \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) \left(\frac{1}{2} f'(w_n) w_n - f(w_n) \right) \\ &\geq \int_{B_{\rho'}} V(x) \left(\frac{1}{2} f'(w_n) w_n - f(w_n) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and then, passing to the limit, by (14) and the arbitrariness of ρ' , we have

$$c \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x) \left(\frac{1}{2} f'(w) w - f(w) \right) = I(w).$$

Hence c is a critical value for I .

Suppose, at contrary, that (18) holds. Then, by (11), (15), Lemma 2.5 and the continuity of the functional

$$u \in L^p(B_\rho) \mapsto \int_{B_\rho} f(u),$$

we have that $c \geq \hat{c}$.

Finally, if

$$V_\infty = \widehat{V},$$

then the conclusion follows from Lemma 2.7, using similar arguments as in [25]. $\square$

Theorem 2.9. *Suppose that f satisfies (f1-4) and V satisfies (V1-3). Then c is a critical value for I .*

Proof We apply Theorem 2.8 for $\widehat{V} = V_\infty$.

By [10] (see also [9]), there exists w , a ground state solution for the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = V_\infty f'(u), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u > 0, \\ u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases}$$

namely, $w \in \mathcal{N}_\infty$ and $I_\infty(w) = c_\infty$.

Let $\theta(w) > 0$ be such that $I(\theta(w)w) = \max_{\theta \geq 0} I(\theta w)$. By **(V3)**, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_\infty &= I_\infty(w) \geq I_\infty(\theta(w)w) \\ &= I(\theta(w)w) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V(x) - V_\infty) f(\theta(w)w) > c, \end{aligned}$$

and hence, by Theorem 2.8, we conclude. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1 By the previous theorem, there exists $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $I(u) = c$ and $I'(u) = 0$. First of all, we prove that u does not change sign. Suppose by contradiction that $u = u^+ + u^-$, $u^\pm \neq 0$, where $u^+ = \max\{0, u\}$ and $u^- = \min\{0, u\}$. It is easy to see that $u^\pm \in \mathcal{N}$, so $I(u^\pm) \geq c$: the contradiction arises observing that $I(u) = I(u^+) + I(u^-)$. Now, since f is even, we can suppose that $u \geq 0$. By the strong maximum principle, we argue that $u > 0$ and so it is a solution to problem $(\mathcal{P})$. $\square$

If we look for solutions of the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\varepsilon^2 \Delta u - V(x) f'(u) = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u > 0, \\ u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases} \quad (\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon)$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, we can weaken the hypotheses on V , replacing **(V3)** by **(V4)**.

By the change of variable $x \mapsto \varepsilon x$, the equation $(\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon)$ can be reduced to the following one

$$-\Delta u = V(\varepsilon x) f'(u),$$

whose solutions correspond to the critical points of the functional defined on $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$I_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) f(u) dx$$

restricted on the Nehari manifold

$$\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon := \left\{ u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) f'(u) u dx \right\}.$$

We denote by c_ε the mountain pass level of the functional I_ε , namely

$$c_\varepsilon = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon} I_\varepsilon(u).$$

By means of Theorem 2.8, we will prove that, for small ε , c_ε is a critical value for I_ε .

We need two preliminary lemmas. As in Lemma 3.2 of [9] we can prove the following

Lemma 2.10. *There exists $C > 0$ such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and, for all $u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$, we get $\|u\| \geq C$.*

Now fix $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and let

$$I_\eta(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\eta) f(u) dx,$$

$$\mathcal{N}_\eta = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\eta) f'(u) u dx \right\},$$

and $c(\eta) := c(V(\eta))$ be the mountain pass level of I_η . Consider ϕ^η a ground state solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = V(\eta) f'(u), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u > 0, \\ u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ define

$$\phi_\varepsilon^\eta = \phi^\eta(\cdot - \eta/\varepsilon)$$

and let $\theta_\varepsilon^\eta > 0$ be such that $\theta_\varepsilon^\eta \phi_\varepsilon^\eta \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$. The following result holds

Lemma 2.11. *For any $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we get*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} I_\varepsilon(\theta_\varepsilon^\eta \phi_\varepsilon^\eta) = c(\eta).$$

Proof First we show that $(\theta_\varepsilon^\eta)_{\varepsilon > 0}$ is bounded. If $\theta_\varepsilon^\eta \leq 1$, we are done; otherwise by some computations we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta_\varepsilon^\eta)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \phi_\varepsilon^\eta|^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) f'(\theta_\varepsilon^\eta \phi_\varepsilon^\eta) \theta_\varepsilon^\eta \phi_\varepsilon^\eta \geq \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) f(\theta_\varepsilon^\eta \phi_\varepsilon^\eta) \\ &\geq C \alpha (\theta_\varepsilon^\eta)^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(\phi_\varepsilon^\eta) \end{aligned}$$

and then, by a change of variable,

$$(\theta_\varepsilon^\eta)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \phi^\eta|^2 \geq C \alpha (\theta_\varepsilon^\eta)^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(\phi^\eta),$$

from which we deduce that $(\theta_\varepsilon^\eta)_{\varepsilon>0}$ is bounded.

Let $\theta^\eta \geq 0$ be such that, up to a subsequence, $\theta_\varepsilon^\eta \rightarrow \theta^\eta$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Since $\theta_\varepsilon^\eta \phi_\varepsilon^\eta \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$, by Lemma 2.10 we have that

$$\theta_\varepsilon^\eta \|\phi^\eta\| = \theta_\varepsilon^\eta \|\phi_\varepsilon^\eta\| = \|\theta_\varepsilon^\eta \phi_\varepsilon^\eta\| \geq C.$$

and then $\theta^\eta \neq 0$. We prove that $\theta^\eta = 1$.

Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta_\varepsilon^\eta)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\eta) f'(\phi^\eta) \phi^\eta &= (\theta_\varepsilon^\eta)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \phi^\eta|^2 = (\theta_\varepsilon^\eta)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \phi_\varepsilon^\eta|^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) f'(\theta_\varepsilon^\eta \phi_\varepsilon^\eta) \theta_\varepsilon^\eta \phi_\varepsilon^\eta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x + \eta) f'(\theta_\varepsilon^\eta \phi^\eta) \theta_\varepsilon^\eta \phi^\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and using the Lebesgue's theorem,

$$(\theta^\eta)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\eta) f'(\phi^\eta) \phi^\eta = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\eta) f'(\theta^\eta \phi^\eta) \theta^\eta \phi^\eta,$$

so

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f'(\theta^\eta \phi^\eta) \phi^\eta - f'(\phi^\eta) \theta^\eta \phi^\eta) = 0.$$

Since for any $z \in \mathbb{R}$, $z \neq 0$, the function

$$t > 0 \mapsto \frac{f'(tz)z}{t} - f'(z)z$$

vanishes only for $t = 1$, we deduce that $\theta^\eta = 1$.

In conclusion

$$\begin{aligned} I_\varepsilon(\theta_\varepsilon^\eta \phi_\varepsilon^\eta) &= \frac{(\theta_\varepsilon^\eta)^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \phi_\varepsilon^\eta|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) f(\theta_\varepsilon^\eta \phi_\varepsilon^\eta) \\ &= \frac{(\theta_\varepsilon^\eta)^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \phi^\eta|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x + \eta) f(\theta_\varepsilon^\eta \phi^\eta) \rightarrow I_\eta(\phi^\eta) = c(\eta), \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. $\square$

Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2 is an immediate consequence of the following

Theorem 2.12. *Suppose that f satisfies (f1-4) and V satisfies (V1-2) and (V4). Then there exists $\bar{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon})$, c_ε is a critical value for I_ε .*

Proof Suppose by contradiction that for any $\bar{\varepsilon} > 0$ there exists $\varepsilon < \bar{\varepsilon}$ such that c_ε is not a critical value for I_ε . Then, by Theorem 2.8, there exists a sequence $\varepsilon_n \searrow 0^+$ such that $(c_{\varepsilon_n})_n$ is bounded from below by c_∞ . By (V4) there exists $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $V(\eta) > V_\infty$, so, by 2 of Lemma 2.6,

$$c(\eta) < c_\infty \leq c_{\varepsilon_n}.$$

On the other side, by Lemma 2.11, we know that

$$c_{\varepsilon_n} \leq I_{\varepsilon_n}(\theta_{\varepsilon_n}^\eta \phi_{\varepsilon_n}^\eta) \rightarrow c(\eta)$$

and so, for ε_n sufficiently small, we get a contradiction. $\square$

3 A multiplicity result

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.3. In view of this, from now on we assume that all the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3 hold.

Set

$$c_0 := \inf_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^N} c_\eta.$$

By Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7, we have that

$$c_0 = c(V_0) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_0} I_0(u),$$

where

$$I_0(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_0 f(u),$$

$$\mathcal{N}_0 := \left\{ u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_0 f'(u) u \right\}.$$

As a consequence,

$$M := \left\{ \eta \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid V(\eta) = \max_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(\xi) \right\} = \left\{ \eta \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid c_\eta = c_0 \right\};$$

moreover, Lemma 2.6 and (V4) imply that M is compact and

$$c_0 < c_\infty. \tag{19}$$

For all $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we define $I_\varepsilon^a := \{u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \mid I_\varepsilon(u) \leq a\}$.

To prove Theorem 1.3 we will refer to the following abstract multiplicity theorem (see [21])

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $C^{1,1}$ complete Riemannian manifold modeled on an Hilbert space and J be a C^1 functional on $\mathcal{M}$ bounded from below. If there exists $b > \inf_{\mathcal{M}} J$ such that J satisfies the Palais-Smale condition on the sublevel $J^{-1}(-\infty, b)$, then for any noncritical level a , with $a < b$, there exist at least $\text{cat}_{J^a}(J^a)$ critical points of J in J^a , where $J^a := \{u \in \mathcal{M} \mid J(u) \leq a\}$.*

So, in order to solve $(\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon)$, we need to study the topology of the sublevels of the functional $I_\varepsilon|_{\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon}$, which is positive by **(f4)**. In particular, we will compare the topology of the sublevels of I_ε with that of M using the following lemma, which is a consequence of the definitions of category and homotopic equivalence (we refer to [7] for more details)

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma > 0$. If there exist $\psi : M \rightarrow I_\varepsilon^a$ and $\beta : I_\varepsilon^a \rightarrow M_\gamma$ two continuous maps such that $\beta \circ \psi$ is homotopically equivalent to the embedding $j : M \rightarrow M_\gamma$, then $\text{cat}_{I_\varepsilon^a}(I_\varepsilon^a) \geq \text{cat}_{M_\gamma}(M)$.*

Taking these two results into account, the proof of Theorem 1.3 can be divided in two steps: the study of the topology and the study of the compactness of the sublevels.

The subsection 3.1 will be devoted to the construction of the maps ψ and β in such a way we can relate the topology of a suitable sublevel of $I_\varepsilon|_{\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon}$ with that of M .

In subsection 3.2 we prove the compactness of the Palais-Smale sequences in a suitable sublevel of $I_\varepsilon|_{\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon}$, which is guaranteed by assumption **(V4)** and a concentration-compactness argument.

Finally, in subsection 3.3 we give the proof of Theorem 1.3.

3.1 The topology of the sublevels

Fix $\gamma > 0$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ define the map $\beta_\varepsilon : \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ as

$$\beta_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \chi(\varepsilon x) dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx}, \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\},$$

where $\chi : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is defined as

$$\chi(x) := \begin{cases} x & \text{if } |x| \leq \rho, \\ \rho \frac{x}{|x|} & \text{if } |x| > \rho, \end{cases}$$

with $\rho > 0$ such that $M_\gamma \subset B_\rho = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid |x| < \rho\}$.

It is easy to see that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ the map β_ε is continuous.

Lemma 3.3. For any $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $\eta \in M$, denote by

$$u_{\varepsilon,\eta} : x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto u(x - \eta/\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \beta_\varepsilon(u_{\varepsilon,\eta}) = \eta, \quad (20)$$

uniformly in M .

Proof By some computations

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_\varepsilon(u_{\varepsilon,\eta}) &= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon,\eta}|^2 \chi(\varepsilon x) dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon,\eta}|^2 dx} = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \chi(\varepsilon x + \eta) dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx} \\ &= \eta + \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 (\chi(\varepsilon x + \eta) - \eta) dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\eta \in M} |\beta_\varepsilon(u_{\varepsilon,\eta}) - \eta| \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\eta \in M} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 |\chi(\varepsilon x + \eta) - \eta| dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx} = 0$$

since, by the compactness of M , for any $\delta > 0$ there exist $r, \bar{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that for all $\eta \in M$ and for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon})$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 |\chi(\varepsilon x + \eta) - \eta| dx \leq \varepsilon r \int_{B_r} |\nabla u|^2 dx + 2\rho \int_{B_r^c} |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq \delta.$$

□

Now we introduce a technical lemma which describes a sort of compactness for any sequence $(u_n)_n$ such that for all $n \geq 1$, $u_n \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_n}$ and $I_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \rightarrow c_0$. Observe that such sequences exist by the definition of c_0 and by Lemma 2.11. In the proof, we will follow an idea of [1].

Lemma 3.4. Let $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and, for all $n \geq 1$, $u_n \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_n}$ such that

$$\lim_n I_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = c_0. \quad (21)$$

Then there exists a sequence $(\eta_n)_n$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $\eta \in M$ and $v \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, such that

1. $\eta_n \rightarrow \eta$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
2. $v_n := u_n(\cdot + \eta_n/\varepsilon_n) \rightarrow v$ in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof Since for any $n \geq 1$ $u_n \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_n}$, by (f4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 + o_n(1) &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \|u_n\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} f'(u_n)u_n - f(u_n)\right) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \|u_n\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and then $(u_n)_n$ is bounded in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Using [5, Lemma 2], by similar arguments as in 2 of Lemma 2.3, we can prove that there exists a sequence $(\xi_n)_n \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and two positive constants $R, \mu > 0$ such that for any n large enough

$$\int_{B_R(\xi_n)} |u_n|^2 \geq \mu. \quad (22)$$

Define $v_n := u_n(\cdot + \xi_n)$, $\eta_n := \varepsilon_n \xi_n$ and $\theta_n > 0$ such that, for any $n \geq 1$, $\theta_n v_n \in \mathcal{N}_0$.

CLAIM 1: there exists a positive constant C such that $(\theta_n)_n \subset [C, 1]$.

Since $(v_n)_n$ is bounded, by 3 of Lemma 2.1 certainly $(\theta_n)_n$ is bounded below by some $C > 0$. Moreover, since for any $n \geq 1$

$$\theta_n^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_0 f'(\theta_n v_n) \theta_n v_n$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n x + \eta_n) f'(v_n) v_n,$$

we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_0 f'(\theta_n v_n) \theta_n v_n = \theta_n^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n x + \eta_n) f'(v_n) v_n \leq \theta_n^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_0 f'(v_n) v_n,$$

that is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_0 \left(\frac{f'(\theta_n v_n) v_n}{\theta_n} - f'(v_n) v_n \right) \leq 0.$$

We conclude the proof of the claim just observing that for any $z \in \mathbb{R}$, $z \neq 0$, the function

$$t > 0 \mapsto \frac{f'(tz)z}{t} - f'(z)z \quad (23)$$

is non positive if and only if $t \leq 1$.

CLAIM 2: $I_0(\theta_n v_n) \rightarrow c_0$.

Since $(\theta_n v_n)_n \subset \mathcal{N}_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
c_0 &\leq I_0(\theta_n v_n) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla(\theta_n v_n)|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_0 f(\theta_n v_n) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla(\theta_n v_n)|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n x + \eta_n) f(\theta_n v_n) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n x + \eta_n) \left(\frac{\theta_n^2}{2} f'(v_n) v_n - f(\theta_n v_n) \right) \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n x + \eta_n) \left(\frac{1}{2} f'(v_n) v_n - f(v_n) \right) = I_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \rightarrow c_0,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that, for any $z \in \mathbb{R}$, $z \neq 0$, the function

$$t \in [0, 1] \mapsto (t^2/2)f'(z)z - f(tz) \quad (24)$$

is increasing. Now define $w_n = \theta_n v_n$.

CLAIM 3: $(w_n)_n$ converges strongly in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to some w which is a ground state solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u - V_0 f'(u) = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u > 0, \\ u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

By Claim 2 and taking [29, Theorem 8.5] into account, we can suppose that the sequence $(w_n)_n$ satisfies the (PS)-condition for the functional $I_0|_{\mathcal{N}_0}$; by this assumption, it can be proved (see e.g. [16]) that $(w_n)_n$ is also a (PS)-sequence for the unconstrained functional. By Claim 1, the sequence $(w_n)_n$ is bounded and then there exists $w \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that, up to a subsequence,

$$w_n \rightharpoonup w \text{ weakly in } \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad (26)$$

$$w_n \rightarrow w \text{ in } L^s(B), \text{ with } B \subset \mathbb{R}^N, \text{ bounded, and } 1 \leq s < 2^*. \quad (27)$$

Observe that $w \in \mathcal{N}_0$; indeed, by (22), Claim 1 and (27) we deduce that $w \neq 0$, while from (26) and (27) it follows that $I'_0(w) = 0$.

So, for any $\delta > 0$, there exists $r' = r'(\delta) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
c_0 &\leq I_0(w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_0 \left(\frac{1}{2} f'(w) w - f(w) \right) \\
&\leq \int_{B_{r'}} V_0 \left(\frac{1}{2} f'(w) w - f(w) \right) + \delta \\
&= \lim_n \int_{B_{r'}} V_0 \left(\frac{1}{2} f'(w_n) w_n - f(w_n) \right) + \delta,
\end{aligned}$$

from which we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_n \int_{B_{r'}^c} V_0 \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} - 1 \right) f(w_n) &\leq \lim_n \int_{B_{r'}^c} V_0 \left(\frac{1}{2} f'(w_n) w_n - f(w_n) \right) \\
&= c_0 - \lim_n \int_{B_{r'}} V_0 \left(\frac{1}{2} f'(w_n) w_n - f(w_n) \right) \\
&\leq \delta.
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

By **(f2)** and **(f3)** and (28) we deduce that, for any $\delta > 0$, there exists $r'' = r''(\delta) > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_n \int_{B_{r''}^c} V_0 f'(w_n) w_n \leq \delta,$$

therefore for any $\delta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w|^2 &\leq \liminf_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w_n|^2 \leq \limsup_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_0 f'(w_n) w_n \\
&= \lim_n \int_{B_{r''}} V_0 f'(w_n) w_n + \limsup_n \int_{B_{r''}^c} V_0 f'(w_n) w_n \\
&\leq \int_{B_{r''}} V_0 f'(w) w + \delta \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w|^2 + \delta.
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

By (26) and (29) it follows that, up to a subsequence, $w_n \rightarrow w$ in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and then w is a ground state solution of (25).

CLAIM 4: $(\eta_n)_n$ converges to some $\eta \in M$ and $(v_n)_n$ converges strongly in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to a ground state solution of (25).

First observe that, by Claim 1, up to a subsequence $(\theta_n)_n$ converges to some $\theta_0 > 0$. Therefore, by Claim 3, there exists a subsequence (identically relabeled) of $(v_n)_n$ and $v \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

There are two possibilities:

1. $|\eta_n| \rightarrow +\infty$;
2. up to a subsequence, there exists $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\eta_n \rightarrow \eta \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Suppose that $|\eta_n| \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

For any fixed $\delta > 0$, let $r = r(\delta) > 0$ be such that

$$\int_{B_r^c} f(v_n) < \delta.$$

Since $V_\infty = \limsup_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x)$, for n sufficiently large, and for all $x \in B_r$, we get

$$V(\varepsilon_n x + \eta_n) \leq V_\infty + \delta.$$

Therefore, for n large

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n x + \eta_n) f(v_n) &= \int_{B_r} V(\varepsilon_n x + \eta_n) f(v_n) + O(\delta) \\ &\leq \int_{B_r} (V_\infty + \delta) f(v_n) + O(\delta) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V_\infty + \delta) f(v_n) + O(\delta). \end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limit and by the arbitrariness of $\delta > 0$

$$\limsup_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n x + \eta_n) f(v_n) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\infty f(v). \quad (30)$$

Let $\theta_\infty > 0$ be such that $\theta_\infty v \in \mathcal{N}_\infty$, namely

$$\theta_\infty^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\infty f'(\theta_\infty v) \theta_\infty v.$$

By (30) and since $u_n \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_n}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_\infty &\leq \frac{\theta_\infty^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\infty f(\theta_\infty v) \\ &\leq \frac{\theta_\infty^2}{2} \lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n|^2 - \limsup_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n x + \eta_n) f(\theta_\infty v_n) \\ &\leq \liminf_n \left(\frac{\theta_\infty^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n x + \eta_n) f(\theta_\infty v_n) \right) \\ &= \liminf_n I_{\varepsilon_n}(\theta_\infty u_n) \leq \liminf_n I_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = c_0, \end{aligned}$$

and we get a contradiction with (19).

So, up to subsequences, there exists $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\eta_n \rightarrow \eta$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

By Lebesgue theorem,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n x + \eta_n) f(v_n) \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\eta) f(v)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n x + \eta_n) f'(v_n) v_n \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\eta) f'(v) v,$$

from which we deduce

$$I_\eta(v) = c_0 \quad \text{and} \quad v \in \mathcal{N}_\eta,$$

that is $c_0 = c_\eta$ and $\eta \in M$. □

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\delta_n \rightarrow 0^+$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, for every $\gamma > 0$, there exists $(\bar{\varepsilon}_n)_n$, $\bar{\varepsilon}_n \rightarrow 0^+$, such that, for n sufficiently large and for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon}_n)$, $I_\varepsilon^{c_0+\delta_n} \neq \emptyset$ and $\beta_\varepsilon(I_\varepsilon^{c_0+\delta_n}) \subset M_\gamma$.*

Proof By Lemma 2.11, certainly for any $n \geq 1$ $I_\varepsilon^{c_0+\delta_n} \neq \emptyset$ for small ε . Now suppose by contradiction that there exists $\gamma > 0$ and $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ such that for any $n \geq 1$ there exists $u_n \in I_{\varepsilon_n}^{c_0+\delta_n}$ and

$$\text{dist}(\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n), M) > \gamma. \quad (31)$$

Since by Lemma 2.6 $c_0 \leq c_{\varepsilon_n}$ for any $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\lim_n I_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = c_0.$$

By Lemma 3.4, there exists a sequence $(\eta_n)_n$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $\eta \in M$ and $v \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, such that $\eta_n \rightarrow \eta$ and $v_n := u_n(\cdot + \eta_n/\varepsilon_n) \rightarrow v$, in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This implies that $(\eta_n)_n \subset M_\gamma$.

We claim that

$$\lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n|^2 \chi(\varepsilon_n x + \eta_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 \eta.$$

In fact, for any $\delta > 0$, there exists $r = r(\delta)$, such that, for n sufficiently large,

$$\int_{B_r^c} |\nabla v_n|^2 \leq \delta, \quad \int_{B_r^c} |\nabla v|^2 \leq \delta,$$

hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n|^2 \chi(\varepsilon_n x + \eta_n) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 \eta \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int_{B_r^c} |\nabla v_n|^2 \chi(\varepsilon_n x + \eta_n) \right| + \left| \int_{B_r^c} |\nabla v|^2 \eta \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \int_{B_r} (|\nabla v_n|^2 - |\nabla v|^2) \chi(\varepsilon_n x + \eta_n) \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \int_{B_r} |\nabla v|^2 (\chi(\varepsilon_n x + \eta_n) - \eta) \right| = C\delta. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 \chi(\varepsilon_n x)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2} = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n|^2 \chi(\varepsilon_n x + \eta_n)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n|^2} \rightarrow \eta$$

which contradicts (31). $\square$

Let ϕ be a ground state solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = V_0 f'(u), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u > 0, \\ u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{cases}$$

For any $\eta \in M$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ define the new function

$$\phi_\varepsilon^\eta = \phi(\cdot - \eta/\varepsilon)$$

and let $\theta_\varepsilon^\eta > 0$ be such that $\theta_\varepsilon^\eta \phi_\varepsilon^\eta \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$. We set

$$\Phi_\varepsilon : \eta \in M \mapsto \theta_\varepsilon^\eta \phi_\varepsilon^\eta \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon.$$

By [9], Φ_ε is continuous. Moreover, arguing as in Lemma 2.11, we can prove the following result

Theorem 3.6. *Uniformly for $\eta \in M$*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} I_\varepsilon(\Phi_\varepsilon(\eta)) = c_0.$$

Combining the results of Lemma 3.3, Theorems 3.5 and 3.6 we get the following

Theorem 3.7. *Let $\delta_n \rightarrow 0^+$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, for every $\gamma > 0$, there exists $(\bar{\varepsilon}_n)_n$, $\bar{\varepsilon}_n \rightarrow 0^+$, such that for n sufficiently large and for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon}_n)$ we have*

$$\text{cat}_{\tilde{I}_\varepsilon^n} \tilde{I}_\varepsilon^n \geq \text{cat}_{M_\gamma} M,$$

where $\tilde{I}_\varepsilon^n := I_\varepsilon^{c_0 + \delta_n}$.

Proof Let $\delta_n \rightarrow 0^+$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $\gamma > 0$. According to Theorem 3.6, there exists $(\bar{\varepsilon}'_n)_n$ such that for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon}'_n)$

$$\Phi_\varepsilon : \eta \in M \mapsto \Phi_\varepsilon(\eta) \in I_\varepsilon^{c_0 + \delta_n}. \quad (32)$$

By Theorem 3.5, there exists $(\bar{\varepsilon}''_n)_n$, $\bar{\varepsilon}''_n \rightarrow 0^+$, such that, for n sufficiently large and for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon}''_n)$:

$$\beta_\varepsilon : u \in I_\varepsilon^{c_0 + \delta_n} \mapsto \beta_\varepsilon(u) \in M_\gamma. \quad (33)$$

These last two formulas hold simultaneously for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon}_n)$, where $\bar{\varepsilon}_n = \min\{\bar{\varepsilon}'_n, \bar{\varepsilon}''_n\}$.

Moreover using Lemma 3.3 we have that, uniformly for $\eta \in M$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \beta_\varepsilon(\Phi_\varepsilon(\eta)) = \eta.$$

So for every $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, the map $\beta_\varepsilon \circ \Phi_\varepsilon$ is homotopically equivalent to the canonical injection $j : M \rightarrow M_\gamma$. By (32), (33) and Lemma 3.2 we get the conclusion. $\square$

3.2 The compactness on the sublevels

This section is completely devoted to the study of the compactness properties of the Palais Smale sequences. In particular, in view of Theorem 3.1 and of the topological considerations in the previous section, we are interested in investigating the compactness properties of the sublevels of the type I_ε^a with $a > c_0$. The following result has been obtained by similar arguments as in [1].

Lemma 3.8. *Let $(v_n)_n \subset \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $d < c_\infty$ be such that*

$$I_\varepsilon(v_n) \rightarrow d, \quad \langle I'_\varepsilon(v_n), v_n \rangle \rightarrow 0. \quad (34)$$

If

$$v_n \rightharpoonup 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

then $v_n \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof Let $(v_n)_n$ satisfy (34) with $d < c_\infty$, and assume that $v_n \rightharpoonup 0$. We show that $d = 0$. Indeed, since $\langle I'_\varepsilon(v_n), v_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) f'(v_n) v_n + o_n(1), \quad (35)$$

and then, by (f4),

$$\begin{aligned} d &= I_\varepsilon(v_n) - \frac{1}{2} \langle I'_\varepsilon(v_n), v_n \rangle + o_n(1) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) \left(\frac{1}{2} f'(v_n) v_n - f(v_n) \right) + o_n(1) \geq o_n(1), \end{aligned}$$

from which we deduce that $d \geq 0$. Now suppose by contradiction that $d > 0$. It is easy to see that the conclusions of Lemma 2.3 hold also for $(v_n)_n$, so there exist a sequence $(y_n)_n \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and three positive numbers $R, \mu, \delta > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_n \int_{B_R(y_n)} |v_n|^2 dx > \mu, \quad (36)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(v_n) \geq \delta. \quad (37)$$

Let $(\theta_n)_n \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ be such that $\theta_n v_n \in \mathcal{N}_\infty$. We prove that $(\theta_n)_n$ is bounded. If $\theta_n \leq 1$ we are done; otherwise, since by (f4)

$$\theta_n^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\infty f'(\theta_n v_n) \theta_n v_n \geq \alpha \theta_n^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\infty f(v_n),$$

the conclusion follows by the boundedness of $(v_n)_n$ in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, **(f2-3)** and (37).

We are going to prove by contradiction that $\liminf_n \theta_n \leq 1$. Define $\tilde{v}_n := v_n(\cdot + y_n)$ and let $\rho > 0$ and $R' > 0$ such that

$$V(\varepsilon x) \leq V_\infty + \rho, \quad \forall |x| \geq R'.$$

We have that, for any $(w_n)_n \subset \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $w_n \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) f'(w_n) w_n &= \int_{B_{R'}} V(\varepsilon x) f'(w_n) w_n + \int_{B_{R'}^c} V(\varepsilon x) f'(w_n) w_n \\ &\leq o_n(1) + \int_{B_{R'}^c} (V_\infty + \rho) f'(w_n) w_n \\ &\leq o_n(1) + O(\rho) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\infty f'(w_n) w_n, \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

and, analogously,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) f(w_n) \leq o_n(1) + O(\rho) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\infty f(w_n). \quad (39)$$

Since $\theta_n v_n \in \mathcal{N}_\infty$, by (35) and (38) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\infty f'(\theta_n v_n) \theta_n v_n + o_n(1) &= \theta_n^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) f'(v_n) v_n \\ &\leq o_n(1) + O(\rho) + \theta_n^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\infty f'(v_n) v_n. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

If we suppose that $\liminf_n \theta_n > 1$, then by (23) and (40)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R} \left(\frac{f'(\theta_n \tilde{v}_n) \tilde{v}_n}{\theta_n} - f'(\tilde{v}_n) \tilde{v}_n \right) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{f'(\theta_n \tilde{v}_n) \tilde{v}_n}{\theta_n} - f'(\tilde{v}_n) \tilde{v}_n \right) \\ &\leq o_n(1) + O(\rho). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the boundedness of $(\tilde{v}_n)_n$ and of $(\theta_n)_n$, from (36) we deduce that, up to a subsequence,

$$\int_{B_R} \left(\frac{f'(\theta_n \tilde{v}_n) \tilde{v}_n}{\theta_n} - f'(\tilde{v}_n) \tilde{v}_n \right) \rightarrow C > 0.$$

Then, up to a subsequence, one of the following two possibilities holds:

i) $\forall n \geq 1 : \theta_n \leq 1$,

ii) $\forall n \geq 1 : \theta_n \geq 1$ and $\lim_n \theta_n = 1$.

If i) holds, then by (24), (35) and (39) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_\infty &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla(\theta_n v_n)|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\infty f(\theta_n v_n) \\
 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) \left(\frac{\theta_n^2}{2} f'(v_n) v_n - f(\theta_n v_n) \right) + o_n(1) + O(\rho) \\
 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) \left(\frac{1}{2} f'(v_n) v_n - f(v_n) \right) + o_n(1) + O(\rho) \\
 &= I_\varepsilon(v_n) + o_n(1) + O(\rho);
 \end{aligned}$$

if ii) holds, then, by (39),

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_\varepsilon(v_n) - c_\infty &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) f(v_n) \\
 &\quad - \frac{\theta_n^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\infty f(\theta_n v_n) \\
 &\geq \frac{1 - \theta_n^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) (f(\theta_n v_n) - f(v_n)) \\
 &\quad + o_n(1) + O(\rho) \\
 &\geq o_n(1) + O(\rho).
 \end{aligned}$$

Both in the first and in the second case we can conclude that

$$c_\infty \leq I_\varepsilon(v_n) + O(\rho) + o_n(1) = d + O(\rho) + o_n(1),$$

and then, letting n go to ∞ and taking ρ smaller and smaller, we deduce $c_\infty \leq d$ which contradicts our hypothesis.

So we have proved $d = 0$, that is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) \left(\frac{1}{2} f'(v_n) v_n - f(v_n) \right) \rightarrow 0.$$

By **(f4)** we deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) f(v_n) \rightarrow 0$$

and then, by (f2), (f3) and (35),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) f'(v_n) v_n + o_n(1) \rightarrow 0,$$

and we are done. $\square$

Theorem 3.9. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, the sublevel $I_\varepsilon^{c_\infty}$ is nonempty and, moreover, $I_\varepsilon|_{\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon}$ satisfies the (PS)-condition in the strip $[c_\varepsilon, c_\infty)$.*

Proof First observe that, by Theorem 3.6 and hypothesis (V4), for ε small enough the sublevel $I_\varepsilon^{c_\infty}$ is nonempty.

Now, let $(u_n)_n \subset \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ be a Palais Smale sequence at the level $\lambda < c_\infty$, namely

$$I_\varepsilon(u_n) = \lambda + o_n(1), \quad (41)$$

$$I'_\varepsilon|_{\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon}(u_n) = o_n(1). \quad (42)$$

Actually $(u_n)_n$ is a (PS)-sequence for the unconstrained functional, namely

$$\lim_n \sup_{\substack{v \in D^{1,2} \\ \|v\|=1}} \langle I'_\varepsilon(u_n), v \rangle = 0. \quad (43)$$

By Lemma 2.3, the sequence $(u_n)_n$ is bounded in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and therefore there exists $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that, up to a subsequence,

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad (44)$$

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^s(B), \text{ with } B \subset \mathbb{R}^N, \text{ bounded, and } 1 \leq s < 2^*. \quad (45)$$

By (42), (44) and (45) $I'_\varepsilon(u) = 0$, so

$$I_\varepsilon(u) = I_\varepsilon(u) - \frac{1}{2} \langle I'_\varepsilon(u), u \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) \left[\frac{1}{2} f'(u) u - f(u) \right] \geq 0. \quad (46)$$

We set $v_n = u_n - u$, so that our aim is to prove that $v_n \rightarrow 0$. We show that $(v_n)_n$ satisfies all the hypotheses of Lemma 3.8.

By [9, Lemma 2.8] and (46)

$$I_\varepsilon(v_n) = I_\varepsilon(u_n) - I_\varepsilon(u) + o_n(1) = l - I_\varepsilon(u) + o_n(1) \rightarrow l - I_\varepsilon(u) < c_\infty.$$

Moreover, again by [9, Lemma 2.8], we infer that

$$\langle I'_\varepsilon(v_n), v_n \rangle = \langle I'_\varepsilon(u_n), u_n \rangle - \langle I'_\varepsilon(u), u \rangle + o_n(1) = o_n(1),$$

and so we are done. $\square$

3.3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let $\gamma > 0$ and fix $\delta_n \rightarrow 0^+$.

Since $c_0 < c_\infty$, for n sufficiently large, $I_\varepsilon^{c_0+\delta_n} \subset I_\varepsilon^{c_\infty}$ and then Theorem 3.9 implies that (PS)-condition holds in $I_\varepsilon^{c_0+\delta_n}$, for small ε . Therefore, applying Theorem 3.1 to our case, there exists at least $\text{cat}_{I_\varepsilon^{c_0+\delta_n}}(I_\varepsilon^{c_0+\delta_n})$ critical points of the functional I_ε . Now by Theorem 3.7, up to take smaller ε and greater n , we find at least $\text{cat}_{M_\gamma}(M)$ critical points of I_ε with energy less or equal to $c_0 + \delta_n$. We need only to prove that such solutions are strictly positive. First we show that they do not change sign. Otherwise, we would have $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ a critical point of I_ε ,

$$I_\varepsilon(u) \leq c_0 + \delta_n, \quad (47)$$

such that $u = u^+ + u^-$, $u^\pm \neq 0$, where $u^+ = \max\{0, u\}$ and $u^- = \min\{0, u\}$. Since $u^\pm \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$, then $I_\varepsilon(u^\pm) \geq c_\varepsilon \geq c_0$. But $I_\varepsilon(u) = I_\varepsilon(u^+) + I_\varepsilon(u^-) \geq 2c_0$ which contradicts (47).

Now, since f is even, we can suppose that all these solutions are nonnegative. Actually, by the strong maximum principle, we argue that they are positive.

References

- [1] C.O. Alves, G.M. Figueiredo, *Existence and multiplicity of positive solutions to a p -Laplacian equation in $\mathbb{R}^N$* , Differential Integral Equations, **19**, (2006), 143–162.
- [2] A. Ambrosetti, M. Badiale, S. Cingolani, *Semiclassical States of Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **140**, (1997), 285–300.
- [3] A. Ambrosetti, A. Malchiodi, S. Secchi, *Multiplicity results for some nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potentials*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **159**, (2001), 253–271.
- [4] T. Aubin, *Problèmes isopérimétriques et espaces de Sobolev*, J. Differential Geometry, **11**, (1976), 573–598.
- [5] A. Azzollini, V. Benci, T. D’Aprile, D. Fortunato, *Existence of static solutions of the semilinear Maxwell equations*, Ricerche di Matematica, **55**, (2006), 283–297.
- [6] A. Azzollini, A. Pomponio, *Compactness results and applications to some “zero mass” elliptic problems*, preprint.

- [7] V. Benci, G. Cerami, *The effect of the domain topology on the number of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic problems*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **114**, (1991), 79–93.
- [8] V. Benci, D. Fortunato, *Towards a unified field theory for classical electrodynamics*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **173**, (2004), 379–414.
- [9] V. Benci, A.M. Micheletti, *Solutions in exterior domains of null mass nonlinear field equations*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud., **6**, (2006), 171–198.
- [10] H. Berestycki, P.L. Lions, *Nonlinear scalar field equations. I. Existence of a ground state*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **82**, (1983), 313–345.
- [11] H. Berestycki, P.L. Lions, *Nonlinear scalar field equations. II. Existence of infinitely many solutions*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **82**, (1983), 347–375.
- [12] H. Berestycki, P.L. Lions, *Existence d’états multiples dans des équations de champs scalaires non linéaires dans le cas de masse nulle*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., **297**, (1983), 267–270.
- [13] H. Brezis, L. Nirenberg, *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic problems involving critical Sobolev exponent*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **36** (1983), 437–477.
- [14] L.A. Caffarelli, B. Gidas, J. Spruck, *Asymptotic symmetry and local behavior of semilinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev growth*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **42** (1989), no. 3, 271–297.
- [15] S. Cingolani, M. Lazzo, *Multiple semiclassical standing waves for a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal., **10**, (1997), 1–13.
- [16] S. Cingolani, M. Lazzo, *Multiple positive solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations with competing potential functions*, Journal of Diff. Eq., **160**, (2000), 118–138.
- [17] M. del Pino, P. Felmer, *Local mountain passes for semilinear elliptic problems in unbounded domains*, Cal. Var. PDE, **4**, (1996), 121–137.
- [18] A. Floer, A. Weinstein, *Nonspreading wave packets for the cubic Schrödinger equation with a bounded potential*, J. Funct. Anal., **69**, (1986), 397–408.

- [19] P.L. Lions, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variation. The locally compact case. Part I*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire, **1**, (1984), 109–145.
- [20] P.L. Lions, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variation. The locally compact case. Part II*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire, **1**, (1984), 223–283.
- [21] J. Mawhin, M. Willem, *Critical Point theory and Hamiltonian Systems*. Applied Mathematical Sciences, 74. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
- [22] Y.G. Oh, *Existence of semiclassical bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potentials of the class $(V)_a$* , Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **13**, (1988), 1499–1519.
- [23] Y.G. Oh, *On positive multi-bump states of nonlinear Schrödinger equation under multiple well potentials*. Comm. Math. Phys., **131**, (1990), 223–253.
- [24] L. Pisani, *Remarks on the sum of Lebesgue spaces*, preprint.
- [25] P.H. Rabinowitz, *On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys., **43**, (1992), 270–291.
- [26] G. Talenti, *Best constant in Sobolev inequality*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., **110**, (1976), 353–372.
- [27] X.F. Wang, *On concentration of positive bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Comm. Math. Phys., **153**, (1993), 229–244.
- [28] X.F. Wang, B. Zeng, *On concentration of positive bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with competing potential functions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **28**, (1997), 633–655.
- [29] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 24. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996.